

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/776,820	STARR ET AL.	

<b>All Participants:</b>	<b>Status of Application: <u>Allowed</u></b>		
(1) <u>Shane Bomar</u> .	(3) _____		
(2) <u>Melody Wirz</u> .	(4) _____		
<b>Date of Interview:</b> <u>16 February 2007</u>	<b>Time:</b> <u>12pm</u>		
<b>Type of Interview:</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes, provide a brief description:			
<b>Part I.</b>			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
<i>None</i>			
Claims discussed:			
<i>1, 28, 29, and 32</i>			
Prior art documents discussed:			
<i>none</i>			
<b>Part II.</b>			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet			
<b>Part III.</b>			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner contacted Attorney Wirz in response to the amendments made to the independent claims. It was suggested that the preconfigured segments or divisions needed to be tied into the event of fracturing and disposing of the tool. It was agreed to remove the word "fractures" from claim 1 and replace it with --is purposely fractured along the preconfigured divisions-- to tie the two concepts together. It was agreed to amend the remaining independent claims accordingly, with minor modifications made according to the individual claim. All changes were agreed to be made via Examiner's Amendment.